1 2 3 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 WILLIAM G. MOORE, 9 Plaintiff, 10 Case No. CR95-5415 v. 11 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 16 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants William G. Moore's Motion for 17 Reconsideration the Court's Order of August 11, 2008, denying [227] Motion for New Trial; 18 denying [230] Motion for Release; denying [231] Motion for Return of Property/Post-Trial. The 19 Court, having considered the Defendant's pleadings and the balance of the record, finds that the 20 motion should be denied. 21 Pursuant to Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored, 22 and will ordinarily be denied unless there is a showing of (a) manifest error in the prior ruling, or (b) 23 facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the attention of the court earlier, 24 through reasonable diligence. Defendant has not made the requisite showing as to either of the 25

26

ORDER - 1

1	grounds for reconsideration under CR 7(h)(1). Defendant attempts to use this motion to revisit the
2	factual and legal arguments made in numerous and superfluous motions filed in this Court . The
3	Court is not persuaded by this reargument. Defendant's motion does not demonstrate a manifest
4	error in the Court's prior ruling dismissing the petition nor does it provide the Court with any new
5	information not previously considered.
6	CONCLUSION
7	For the reasons set forth above, Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration will be denied. DENIED .
8	ACCORDINGLY,
9	IT IS ORDERED:
10	(1) Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration [Dkt #234 and 235] is DENIED .
11	(2) The Court will not consider any further pleadings filed in this matter.
12	DATED this 26 th day of August, 2008.
13	
14 15	fall of
16	FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 ORDER - 2